arXiv:0706.2350v1 [math.RA] 15 Jun 2007

Kummer subfields of tame division algebras over Henselian valued fields[*][†]

Karim Mounirh

e.mail: akamounirh@hotmail.com

Abstract : By generalizing the method used by Tignol and Amitsur in [TA85], we determine necessary and sufficient conditions for an arbitrary tame central division algebra D over a Henselian valued field E to have Kummer subfields [Corollary 2.11 and Corollary 2.12]. We prove also that if D is a tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree p^n over E such that $p \neq char(\bar{E})$ and $rk(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$ [resp., such that $p \neq char(\bar{E})$ and p^3 divides $exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)$], then D is non-cyclic [Proposition 3.1] [resp., D is not an elementary abelian crossed product [Proposition 3.2]].

Introduction

Let B be a tame central division algebra over a Henselian valued field E. We know by [JW90, Lemma 6.2] that B is similar to some $S \otimes_E T$, where S is an inertially split [resp., T is a tame totally ramified] division algebra over E. By generalizing the method used by Tignol and Amitsur in [TA85], Morandi and Sethuraman determined in [MorSe95] necessary and sufficient conditions for B to have Kummer subfields when $B = S \otimes_E T$. A good question was to see if we have the same results when B is an arbitrary tame central division algebra over E. To deal with this question, we remarked that it will be the same if we can determine necessary and sufficient conditions for a graded central division algebra over a graded field to have Kummer

---

[*] Mathematics subject classification (MSC2000): 16K50, 16W50, 16W60 and 16W70.

[†] Key Words: Generalized crossed products, (Graded) Brauer group, Valued division algebras, Henselization, Graded division algebras, Kummer graded field extensions.

graded subfields. Indeed, we know that if $char(\bar{E})$ does not divide $deg(B)$, then any result concerning graded subfields of GB gives an analogous one for B.
A first key idea was the fact that if D is a graded central division algebra over a graded field F, then there is a factor set (ω, f) of Γ_D/Γ_F in D_0F such that D is the generalized graded crossed product $(D_0F, \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F, (\omega, f))$. Another important result consists in the fact that f can be decompsed in a nice way. Indeed, we showed that for any $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}' \in \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$, we can write $f(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') = d(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}')h(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}')$, where (ω, d) is a factor set of Γ_D/Γ_F in D_0 and $h \in Z^2(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F, F^*)_{sym}$ [Lemma 1.6]. We show also in section 2 that if K is a Kummer graded subfield of D, then there is an exact sequence of trivial Γ_K/Γ_F-modules $\alpha_K : 1 \to kum(K_0/F_0) \to kum(K/F) \to \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F \to 0$. We consider α_K as an element of $Z^2(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F, kum(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$ and so applying the previous facts we get in [Corollary 2.10 and Corollary 2.11] necessary and sufficient conditions for D to have Kummer graded subfields when F_0 contains enough roots of unity. This results are then applied to give necessary and sufficient conditions for a semiramified graded division algebra D over a graded field F to be cyclic [resp., to be an elementary abelian graded crossed product] when F_0 contains enough roots of unity. In section 3, and without assuming any root of unity to be in $\bar{E}$, we prove that if E is a Henselian valued field and B is a tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree p^n over E such that $p \neq char(\bar{E})$ and $rk(\Gamma_B/\Gamma_F) \geq 3$ [resp., such that $p \neq char(\bar{E})$ and p^3 divides $exp(\Gamma_B/\Gamma_E)$], then B is non-cyclic [Proposition 3.1] [resp., B is not an elementary abelian crossed product [Proposition 3.2]].

Throughout this paper, we assume familiarity with the definitions and notations previously used in [M05] and [M07].

1 Generalized graded crossed products and graded division algebras

(1.1) Let L be a field and A a central simple algebra over L. We denote by A^* the group of invertible elements of A and by $Aut(A)$ the group of ring automorphisms of A. For any $c \in A^*$, we denote by $Inn(c)$ the ring automorphism of A defined

by $a \mapsto cac^{-1}$. Let H be a finite group that acts by automorphisms on L and let $\omega : H \to Aut(A)$ and $f : H \times H \to A^*$ be two maps. We say that (ω, f) is a factor set of H in A if the following conditions are satisfied :
(1) $\omega_\sigma(a) = \sigma(a)$ for all $a \in L$ and $\sigma \in H$,
(2) $\omega_\sigma \omega_\tau = Inn(f(\sigma, \tau))\omega_{\sigma\tau}$ for all $\sigma, \tau \in H$, and
(3) $f(\sigma, \tau)f(\sigma\tau, \mu) = \omega_\sigma(f(\tau, \mu))f(\sigma, \tau\mu)$ for all $\sigma, \tau, \mu \in H$.
If (ω, f) is a factor set of H in A, then we define the generalized crossed product associated to (ω, f) to be the algebra $(A, H, (\omega, f)) = \oplus_{\sigma \in H} A x_\sigma$, where x_σ are independent indeterminates over A satisfying the following multiplicative conditions (for all $\sigma \in H$ and $a \in A$) :
(4) $x_\sigma a = \omega_\sigma(a)x_\sigma$, and
(5) $x_\sigma x_\tau = f(\sigma, \tau)x_{\sigma\tau}$.

It is well-known that if $char(L)$ does not divide $card(H)$, then $(A, H, (\omega, f))$ is a semisimple algebra (see [MorSe95, p. 556]).

Let (ω, f) and (ω', f') be two factor sets of H in A. We say that (ω, f) and (ω', f') are cohomologous if there is a family $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in H}$ of elements of A^* such that for all $\sigma, \tau \in H$, $\omega'_\sigma = Inn(a_\sigma)\omega_\sigma$ and $f'(\sigma, \tau) = a_\sigma \omega_\sigma(a_\tau) f(\sigma, \tau) a_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$. We write in this case $(\omega, f) \sim (\omega', f')$. The relation $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on the set of factor sets of H in A. We denote the set of equivalence classes by $\mathcal{H}(H, A^*)$. If $A = L$ is a Galois field extension of some field E and $H = Gal(L/E)$, then $\mathcal{H}(H, A^*)$ is the second Galois cohomology group $H^2(H, L^*)$.

Now, let L be a graded field, A a graded central simple algebra over L, H a finite group that acts on L by graded automorphisms (of grade 0), $GAut(A)_0$ the group of graded ring automorphisms (of grade 0) of A (i.e. ring automorphisms of A such that $f(A_\delta) = A_\delta$). In the same way as above, if $\omega : H \to GAut(A)_0$ and $f : H \times H \to A^*$ are two maps that satisfy the conditions (1) to (3) above, then we say that (ω, f) is a graded factor set of H in A. The corresponding graded generalized crossed product $(A, H, (\omega, f))$ is defined also as above. Namely, $(A, H, (\omega, f)) = \oplus_{\sigma \in H} A x_\sigma$, where x_σ are independent indeterminates on A satisfying the multiplicative conditions : $x_\sigma a = \omega_\sigma(a)x_\sigma$ and $x_\sigma x_\tau = f(\sigma, \tau)x_{\sigma\tau}$ for all $a \in A$ and $\sigma, \tau \in H$. As we will see in the next lemma, $(A, H, (\omega, f))$ has a unique graded algebra structure extending that of A and for which x_σ are homogeneous elements (the proof of this lemma is inspired from [HW(2), Lemma 5.4]).

Lemma 1. 2 *Let L be a graded field, A be a graded central simple algebra over L, H a finite group that acts on L by graded automorphisms, and (ω, f) a graded factor set of H in A. Then, there is a unique graded algebra structure of $(A, H, (\omega, f))$ extending the grading of A and for which x_σ are homogeneous elements.*

Proof. Let Γ_A (a totally ordered abelian group) be the support of A, $\Delta_A (= \Gamma_A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ be the divisible hull of Γ_A and consider the map $h : H \times H \to \Delta_A$, $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto gr(f(\sigma, \tau))$. Then, it follows from condition (3) above that h is a cocycle of $Z^2(H, \Delta_A)$ (for the trivial action of H on Δ_A). Since H is finite and Δ_A is uniquely divisible, then $H^2(H, \Delta_A) = H^1(H, \Delta_A) = 0$. Therefore, there is a unique family $(\delta_\sigma)_{\sigma \in H}$ of elements of Δ_A such that $h(\sigma, \tau) = \delta_\sigma + \delta_\tau - \delta_{\sigma\tau}$ (the uniqueness follows from the fact that $H^1(H, \Delta_A) = 0$). The unique graded structure of $(A, H, (\omega, f))$ that extends that of A and for which x_σ are homogeneous elements is then defined by $gr(x_\sigma) = \delta_\sigma$.

In what follows, we will show that any graded division algebra can be represented as a generalized graded crossed product. This representation, will be applied in section 2 to determine necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Kummer graded subfields.

(1.3) Let F be a graded field and D a graded central division algebra over F. Then, the map $\theta_D : \Gamma_D / \Gamma_F \to Gal(Z(D_0)/F_0)$, defined by $\theta_D(gr(d) + \Gamma_F)(a) = dad^{-1}$ for any $d \in D^*$ and $a \in Z(D_0)$, is a surjective group homomorphism. Since $HCq(D)$ is a tame central division algebra over $HFrac(F)$, then by [JW90, Proposition 1.7 and Definition p. 166] $Z(D_0)$ is an abelian field extension of F_0. For simplicity, we denote by G the Galois group $Gal(Z(D_0)/F_0)$. So, by [HW(1)99, Remark 3.1] $Z(D_0)F$ is an abelian Galois graded field extension of F with Galois group isomorphic to G. In what follows, we will consider the action of Γ_D/Γ_F on $Z(D_0)F$ defined by θ_D (i.e., for

any $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$ and any $a \in Z(D_0)F$, we let $\bar{\gamma}(a) = d_{\bar{\gamma}} a d_{\bar{\gamma}}^{-1}$, where $d_{\bar{\gamma}}$ is an arbitrary homogeneous element of D^* such that $gr(d_{\bar{\gamma}}) + \Gamma_F = \bar{\gamma}$).
We aim here to show that there is a graded factor set (ω, f) of $H := \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$ in D_0F such that $D = (D_0F, H, (\omega, f))$. For this, we fix a family of homogeneous elements $(z_{\bar{\gamma}})_{\bar{\gamma}\in H}$ of D^* with $gr(z_{\bar{\gamma}}) + \Gamma_F = \bar{\gamma}$. Clearly, we have $D = \oplus_{\bar{\gamma}\in H} D_0F z_{\bar{\gamma}}$ (because both graded algebras have the same 0-component and the same support). We define
:

$$\omega : H \to GAut(D_0F)_0$$

and

$$f : H \times H \to (D_0F)^*$$

by $\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}(a) = z_{\bar{\gamma}} a z_{\bar{\gamma}}^{-1}$ and $f(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') = z_{\bar{\gamma}} z_{\bar{\gamma}'} z_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}^{-1}$. One can easily see that (ω, f) is a graded factor set of H in D_0F. So, $D = \oplus_{\bar{\gamma}\in H} D_0F z_{\bar{\gamma}} = (D_0F, H, (\omega, f))$

Let $B = \oplus_{\bar{\gamma}\in ker(\theta_D)} D_0F z_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and for any $\sigma \in G$ choose a $\bar{\gamma}_\sigma \in H$ such that $\theta_D(\bar{\gamma}_\sigma) = \sigma$ and let $z_\sigma := z_{\bar{\gamma}_\sigma}$. Then, we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 1. 4 *B is the centralizer of $Z(D_0F)$ in D and $D = \oplus_{\sigma\in G} B z_\sigma = (B, G, (w, g))$ for some graded factor set (w, g) of G in B.*

Proof. Let C be the centralizer of $Z(D_0)F$ in D. Clearly, we have $B \subseteq C$. Moreover, by [HW(2)99, Proposition 1.5] we have $[C : F] = [D : F]/[Z(D_0)F : F] = [D_0 : F_0](\Gamma_D : \Gamma_F)/[Z(D_0) : F_0] = [D_0 : F_0]|ker(\theta_D)| = [B : F]$. Hence, $B = C$. Clearly, we have $\oplus_{\sigma\in G} B z_\sigma = \oplus_{\sigma\in G}(\oplus_{\bar{\gamma}\in ker(\theta_D)} D_0F z_{\bar{\gamma}}) z_\sigma = \oplus_{\bar{\gamma}\in \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F} D_0F z_{\bar{\gamma}} = D$.
Let

$$w : G \to GAut(B)_0$$

and

$$g : G \times G \to B^*$$

be the maps defined by $w_\sigma(b) = z_\sigma b z_\sigma^{-1}$ (for any $b \in B$ and $\sigma \in G$) and $g(\sigma, \tau) = z_\sigma z_\tau z_{\sigma\tau}^{-1}$ (for any $\sigma, \tau \in G$). Then, (w, g) is a graded factor set of G in B and $(B, G, (w, g)) = \oplus_{\sigma\in G} B z_\sigma = D$.

Remark 1.5 Remark that the existence of (w, g) in Lemma 1.4 follows also by the graded version of [T87, Theorem 1.3(b)].

(1.6) Now, with the notations of (1.3) let $S = (\bar{\delta}_i := \delta_i + \Gamma_F)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ a basis of H, $q_i = ord(\bar{\delta}_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $I = \{(m_1, ..., m_r) \in I\!N^r \mid 0 \leq m_i < q_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. We fix a family $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ of elements of F^* with $gr(x_i) = q_i\delta_i$, and we consider a family $(z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ of elements of D^* with $gr(z_i) = \delta_i$. For $\bar{m} = (m_1, ..., m_r) \in I$, we let $\bar{m}\bar{\delta} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} m_i\bar{\delta}_i$ and $z^{\bar{m}} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} z_i^{m_i}$. Remark that for any $\bar{\gamma} \in H$, there is a unique element $\bar{m} \in I$ such that $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{m}\bar{\delta}$. Henceforth, for any $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{m}\bar{\delta}$ (where $\bar{m} \in I$), we choose $z_{\bar{\gamma}} = z^{\bar{m}}$. Let $f : H \times H \rightarrow (D_0F)^*$ be the map previously defined in (1.3) by $f(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') = z_{\bar{\gamma}}z_{\bar{\gamma}'}z_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}^{-1}$. Then, for any $\bar{m}, \bar{n} \in I$, $f(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta}) = z^{\bar{m}}z^{\bar{n}}z^{-\beta(\bar{m}+\bar{n})}$, where $\beta(\bar{m}+\bar{n}) \in I$ with $\bar{m}+\bar{n} \equiv \beta(\bar{m}+\bar{n})\ mod \prod_{i=1}^{r} q_i\mathbb{Z}$. Write $m_i + n_i = \beta(\bar{m}+\bar{n})_i + t_iq_i$, where $t_i \in I\!N$, then $f(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta}) = d(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta})h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta})$, where $d(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta}) \in D_0^*$ and $h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_i^{t_i}$. Consider the map ω defined in (1.3), we will denote also by ω the map : $H \rightarrow Aut(D_0)$ defined by $\bar{\gamma} \mapsto \omega_{\bar{\gamma}/D_0}$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. 7 *(ω, d) is a factor set of H in D_0 and $h \in Z^2(H, F^*)_{sym}$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{m}, \bar{n}$ and $\bar{s}$ be elements of I. Since H acts trivially on F^*, then

$$\bar{m}\bar{\delta}h(\bar{n}\bar{\delta}, \bar{s}\bar{\delta})h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta} + \bar{s}\bar{\delta}) = h(\bar{n}\bar{\delta}, \bar{s}\bar{\delta})h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \beta(\bar{n} + \bar{s})\bar{\delta}) = (\prod_{i=1}^{r} {x_i}^{\lambda_i})(\prod_{i=1}^{r} {x_i}^{\gamma_i})$$

where $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{q_i}(n_i + s_i - \beta(\bar{n} + \bar{s})_i)$ and $\gamma_i = \frac{1}{q_i}(m_i + \beta(\bar{n} + \bar{s})_i - \beta(\bar{m} + \beta(\bar{n} + \bar{s}))_i)$. We have $\beta(\bar{m} + \beta(\bar{n} + \bar{s})) = \beta(\bar{m} + \bar{n} + \bar{s})$, hence

$$\bar{m}\bar{\delta}h(\bar{n}\bar{\delta}, \bar{s}\bar{\delta})h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta} + \bar{s}\bar{\delta}) = (\prod_{i=1}^{r} x_i^{\xi_i}).$$

where $\xi_i = \frac{1}{q_i}m_i + n_i + s_i - \beta(\bar{m} + \bar{n} + \bar{s})_i$.

Likewise, we have :

$$h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta})h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta} + \bar{n}\bar{\delta}, \bar{s}\bar{\delta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_i^{\xi_i}.$$

Moreover, it is clear that $h(\bar{m}\bar{\delta}, \bar{n}\bar{\delta}) = h(\bar{n}\bar{\delta}, \bar{m}\bar{\delta})$. Hence, $h \in Z^2(H, F^*)_{sym}$. The fact that (ω, f) is a graded factor set of H in D_0F and that $h \in Z^2(H, F^*)_{sym}$ imply (ω, d) is a factor set of H in D_0.

Remark 1.8 If D is a semiramified graded division algebra over F, then using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 1.7, we prove that $d \in Z^2(H, D_0^*)$ (see that in this case $H \cong Gal(D_0/F_0)$).

2 Kummer graded subfields of graded division algebras

(2.1) Let F be a graded field and K is a finite-dimensional abelian graded field extension of F (i.e., such that $Frac(K)/Frac(F)$ is an abelian Galois field extension [see HW(1)99]). We say that K is a Kummer graded field extension of F if F_0 contains a primitive m^{th} root of unity, where m is the exponent of $Gal(K/F)$. In such a case, as for ungraded Kummer field extensions, we set $KUM(K/F) = \{x \in K^* \mid x^m \in F\}$ and $kum(K/F) = KUM(K/F)/F^*$. One can easily see that $kum(K/F)$ is isomorphic to $Gal(K/F)$.
Now, let K be a Kummer graded field extension of F, then we have $K = F[a \mid a \in KUM(K/F)]$, so Γ_K/Γ_F is generated by $\{gr(a) + \Gamma_F \mid a \in KUM(K/F)\}$, therefore the group homomorphism $\psi : kum(K/F) \to \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$, defined by $\psi(aF^*) = gr(a)+\Gamma_F$, for $a \in KUM(K/F)$, is surjective. Let $\phi : kum(K_0/F_0) \to kum(K/F)$ be the group homomorphism defined by $\phi(aF_0^*) = aF^*$, for every $a \in KUM(K_0/F_0)$. Clearly, ϕ is injective and $\psi \circ \phi = 0$. By comparing the cardinalities, we conclude that the following sequence of trivial Γ_K/Γ_F-modules :

$$\alpha_K : 1 \to kum(K_0/F_0) \xrightarrow{\phi} kum(K/F) \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F \to 0$$

is exact. Remark that since $kum(K/F)$ is abelian, then $\alpha_K \in Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, kum(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$.

(2.2) With the notations of (2.1), we have $KUM(K/F) \cap D_0 = KUM(K_0/F_0)$. Indeed, let $a \in KUM(K/F) \cap D_0$, then $\psi(aF^*) = 0$, so $aF^* \in im(\phi)$. Hence there is $b \in KUM(K_0/F_0)$ such that $aF^* = bF^*$. Since both a and b are in D_0^*, then

$ab^{-1} \in F_0^*(= D_0^* \cap F^*)$. So, $a \in KUM(K_0/F_0)$. This shows that $KUM(K/F) \cap D_0 \subseteq KUM(K_0/F_0)$. The converse inclusion is trivial.

2.3 Notations : We precise here some notations needed for the next result :
(a) Let $e : KUM(K_0/F_0) \to kum(K_0/F_0)$ be the canonical surjective homomorphism. We denote by $e_* : H^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, KUM(K_0/F_0))_{sym} \to H^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, kum(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$ the corresponding homomorphism of cohomology groups (for the trivial action of Γ_K/Γ_F on $KUM(K_0/F_0)$ and on $kum(K_0/F_0)$).
(b) Let (ω, d) be the factor set of H in D_0 previously seen in Lemma 1.7, we denote by $res^H_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}(\omega, d)$ its restriction when considering Γ_K/Γ_F instead of H.
Obviously, $res^H_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}(\omega, d)$ is a factor set of Γ_K/Γ_F in D_0.
(c) Let $i : KUM(K_0/F_0) \to D_0^*$ be the inclusion map. For a cocycle $h \in Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, KUM(K_0/F_0))$ we denote by i_*h the map : $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F \times \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F \to D_0^*$, $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') \mapsto i \circ h(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}')$.

Theorem 2. 4 *Let F be a graded field, D a graded central division algebra over F, (ω, d) the factor set of Γ_D/Γ_F in D_0 seen in Lemma 1.7, K a Kummer graded subfield of D and α_K the cocycle of $Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, kum(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$ defined in (2.1), then there exists a cocycle $d' \in Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, KUM(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$ (for the trivial action of Γ_K/Γ_F on $KUM(K_0/F_0)$) and a map $\omega' : \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F \to Aut(D_0)$ which satisfies $\omega'_{\bar{\gamma}}(a) = a$ for all $a \in K_0$ and $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$, such that :*
1. (ω', i_*d') is a factor set of Γ_K/Γ_F in D_0 cohomologous to $res^{\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F}_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}(\omega, d)$, and
2. $e_*([d']) = [\alpha_K]$.

Proof. Let $H = \Gamma_D/\Gamma_F$ and write $D = \oplus_{\bar{\gamma} \in H} D_0 F x_{\bar{\gamma}}$, where $x_{\bar{\gamma}} a = \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}(a) x_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and $x_{\bar{\gamma}} x_{\bar{\gamma}'} = d(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') h(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') x_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}$ (where h is the cocycle of $Z^2(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F, F^*)_{sym}$ seen in Lemma 1.7). For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_K$, let $y_{\bar{\gamma}} \in KUM(K/F)$ such that $gr(y_{\bar{\gamma}}) + \Gamma_F = \bar{\gamma}$ $(= \gamma + \Gamma_F)$ and write $y_{\bar{\gamma}} = a_{\bar{\gamma}} x_{\bar{\gamma}}$, where $a_{\bar{\gamma}} \in (D_0 F)^*$. Let $b_{\bar{\gamma}} \in D_0^*$ and $c_{\bar{\gamma}} \in F^*$ such that $a_{\bar{\gamma}} = b_{\bar{\gamma}} c_{\bar{\gamma}}$, then we have :

$$\begin{aligned} y_{\bar{\gamma}} y_{\bar{\gamma}'} &= a_{\bar{\gamma}} \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}(a_{\bar{\gamma}'}) d(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') a^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'} h(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') y_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'} \\ &= b_{\bar{\gamma}} \omega_{\bar{\gamma}}(b_{\bar{\gamma}'}) d(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') b^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'} c_{\bar{\gamma}} c_{\bar{\gamma}'} c^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'} h(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') y_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'} \\ &= d'(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') h'(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\gamma}') y_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'} \end{aligned}$$

where $d'(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}') = b_{\bar{\gamma}}\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}(b_{\bar{\gamma}'})d(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}')b^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}$ and $h'(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}') = c_{\bar{\gamma}}\bar{c}_{\bar{\gamma}'}c^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}h(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}')$. Since $y_{\bar{\gamma}}$, $y_{\bar{\gamma}'}$ and $y_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}$ are in $KUM(K/F)$ and $h'(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}') \in F^*$, then $d'(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}') \in KUM(K/F) \cap D_0$ $(= KUM(K_0/F_0))$. One can easily check that $d' \in Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, KUM(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$ (this follows from the equality $(y_{\bar{\gamma}}y_{\bar{\gamma}'})y_{\bar{\gamma}"} = y_{\bar{\gamma}}(y_{\bar{\gamma}'}y_{\bar{\gamma}"})$, the fact that $h' \sim res^H_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}(h)$ is a symmetric 2-cocycle and the fact that $y_{\bar{\gamma}}$ are pairwise commuting for $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$). Now, let $\omega' : \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F \to Aut(D_0)$ be the map defined by $\omega'_{\bar{\gamma}} = Inn(b_{\bar{\gamma}})\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}$ (i.e., $\omega'_{\bar{\gamma}}(a) = b_{\bar{\gamma}}\omega_{\bar{\gamma}}(a)b^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ for all $a \in D_0$ and $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$). Then, for any $a \in K_0$ and any $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$, we have $\omega'_{\bar{\gamma}}(a) = b_{\bar{\gamma}}x_{\bar{\gamma}}ax^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}}b^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}} = a_{\bar{\gamma}}x_{\bar{\gamma}}ax^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}}a^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}} = y_{\bar{\gamma}}ay^{-1}_{\bar{\gamma}} = a$. One can easily see that (ω', i_*d') is a factor set of Γ_K/Γ_F in D_0 cohomologous to $res^H_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}(\omega, d)$. Moreover, the equality $y_{\bar{\gamma}}y_{\bar{\gamma}'} = d'(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}')h'(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}')y_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}$ yields, by considering classes modulo F^* in $kum(K/F)$, $\bar{y}_{\bar{\gamma}}\bar{y}_{\bar{\gamma}'} = e(d'(\bar{\gamma},\bar{\gamma}'))\bar{y}_{\bar{\gamma}+\bar{\gamma}'}$, where $e : KUM(K_0/F_0) \to kum(K_0/F_0)$ is the canonical surjective homomorphism (we identify here $kum(K_0/F_0)$ with its canonical image in $kum(K/F)$). Hence, $e_*([d']) = [\alpha_K]$.

(2.5) Let F be a graded field, D a graded division algebra over F, A a finite abelian subgoup of D^*/F^* with exponent m, and for any $a \in A$, let d_a be a representative of a in D^*. Assume that F_0 contains a primitive m^{th} root of unity and let $F(A) = F[d_a \mid a \in A]$ be the subring of D generated by F and the elements d_a $(a \in A)$. If d_a are pairwise commuting, then as in the ungraded case $F(A)$ is a Kummer graded field extension of F with $kum(F(A)) = A$ (it suffices to see that $F(A)$ is a graded field and that $Frac(F(A)) = Frac(F)(A)$ when A is identified with its canonical image in $Cq(D)^*/Frac(F)^*$).

Conversely to Theorem 2.4, we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2. 6 *Let F be a graded field, D a graded central division algebra over F and (ω, d) the factor set of Γ_D/Γ_F in D_0 seen in Lemma 1.7. Assume F_0 contains enough roots of unity and that there are :*
1. a field extension M of F_0 in D_0, and a subgroup R of Γ_D/Γ_F acting trivially on M,
2. a cocycle $d' \in Z^2(R, KUM(M/F_0))_{sym}$ and a map $\omega' : R \to Aut(D_0)$ such that (ω', i_*d') is a factor set of R in D_0 cohomologous to $res^{\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F}_R(\omega, d)$ and such that

$\omega'_{\bar\gamma}(a) = a$ for all $a \in M$ and $\bar\gamma \in R$.
Then, there exists a Kummer graded subfield K of D such that :
1. $K_0 = M$, $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F = R$ and
2. $e_*([d']) = [\alpha_K]$.

Proof. Let's denote by H the quotient group Γ_D/Γ_F and write $D = \oplus_{\bar\gamma \in H} D_0 F x_{\bar\gamma}$, where $x_{\bar\gamma} a = \omega_{\bar\gamma}(a) x_{\bar\gamma}$ and $x_{\bar\gamma} x_{\bar\gamma'} = d(\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma') h(\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma') x_{\bar\gamma + \bar\gamma'}$ (h being the cocycle of $Z^2(H, F^*)_{sym}$ seen in Lemma 1.7). The fact that $(\omega', i_* d')$ is cohomologous to $res^H_R(\omega, d)$ means that there is a family $(b_{\bar\gamma})_{\bar\gamma \in R}$ of elements of D_0^* such that for all $a \in D_0$ and $\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma' \in R$, we have $\omega'_{\bar\gamma}(a) = b_{\bar\gamma} \omega_{\bar\gamma}(a) b_{\bar\gamma}^{-1}$ and $d'(\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma') = b_{\bar\gamma} \omega_{\bar\gamma}(b_{\bar\gamma'}) d(\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma') b^{-1}_{\bar\gamma + \bar\gamma'}$. Let $y_{\bar\gamma} = b_{\bar\gamma} x_{\bar\gamma}$ for all $\bar\gamma \in R$. Then, we have $y_{\bar\gamma} y_{\bar\gamma'} = d'(\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma') h(\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma') y_{\bar\gamma + \bar\gamma'}$. Let $K = \oplus_{\bar\gamma \in R} M F y_{\bar\gamma} (\subseteq D)$. Since d' and h are symmetric, then $y_{\bar\gamma}$ are pairwise commuting. Moreover, by hypotheses $\omega'_{\bar\gamma}(a) = a$ for all $a \in M$ and $\bar\gamma \in R$, so K is a commutative graded subring (hence a graded subfield) of D.
Let A be the subgroup of D^*/F^* generated by $kum(M/F_0)$ and the set $\{\bar y_{\bar\gamma}\}_{\bar\gamma \in R}$. One can easily see that up to a graded isomorphism we have $K = F(A)$. Therefore, K is a Kummer graded field extension of F with $kum(K/F) = A$. Considering classes in $kum(K/F)$, we have $\bar y_{\bar\gamma} \bar y_{\bar\gamma'} = e(d'(\bar\gamma, \bar\gamma')) \bar y_{\bar\gamma + \bar\gamma'}$, where $e : KUM(M/F_0) \to kum(M/F_0)$ is the canonical surjective homomorphism (we identify here $kum(M/F_0)$ with its canonical image in $kum(K/F)$), so $kum(K/F)$ is the extension of $kum(M/F_0)$ by R with cocycle $e_*([d'])$.

(2.7) Let F be a graded field, D a semiramified graded division algebra over F and $G = Gal(D_0/F_0)$. We know that $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F \cong G$. Therefore, any subgroup of Γ_D/Γ_F can be identified to a subgoup of G. Let's consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, KUM(K_0/F_0))_{sym} \arrow[r, "i_*"] \arrow[d, "e_*"'] & H^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, D_0^*) \\
H^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, kum(K_0/F_0))_{sym} & H^2(G, D_0^*) \arrow[u, "res^G_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i_* is the homomorphism of cohomology groups induced by the inclusion map $KUM(K_0/F_0) \xrightarrow{i} D_0^*$, e_* is the homomorphism of cohomology groups induced by the canonical surjective homomorphism $e : KUM(K_0/F_0) \to kum(K_0/F_0)$, and $res^G_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}$

is the restriction map. As a consequence of Theorem 2.4, we have the following Corollary :

Corollary 2. 8 *Let* F *be a graded field,* D *a semiramified graded division algebra over* F*,* $G = Gal(D_0/F_0)$*,* d *the cocycle of* $Z^2(G, D_0^*)$ *seen in Remark 1.8,* K *a Kummer graded subfield of* D *and* α_K *the cocycle of* $Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, kum(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$ *defined in (2.1), then there exists a cocycle* $d' \in Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F, KUM(K_0/F_0))_{sym}$ *such that :*
(1) $i_*([d']) = res^G_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_F}([d])$*, and*
(2) $e_*([d']) = [\alpha_K]$*.*

Also, as a consequence of Theorem 2.6, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 2. 9 *Let* F *be a graded field,* D *a semiramified graded division algebra over* F *and* $d \in Z^2(G, D_0^*)$ *the cocycles seen in Remark 1.8. Assume* F_0 *contains enough roots of unity and suppose there exist : a subfield* M *of* D_0 *containing* F_0*, a subgroup* R *of* Γ_D/Γ_F *acting trivially on* M*, and a cocycle* $d' \in Z^2(G, KUM(M/F_0))_{sym}$ *such that* $i_*([d']) = res^G_R([d])$*. Then, there exists a Kummer graded subfield* K *of* D *such that :*
(1) $M = K_0$*,* $R = \Gamma_K/\Gamma_F$*, and*
(2) $[\alpha_K] = e_*([d'])$*.*

(2.10) Now let E be a Henselian valued field and D a tame central division algebra over E such that $char(\bar{E})$ does not divide $deg(D)$. Since GD is a graded central division algebra over GE, then we can define a graded factor set (ω, d) corresponding to GD as made in Lemma 1.7. If K is a Kummer subfield of D, then by [HW(1), Theorem 5.2] GK is a Kummer graded subfield of GD. So, we can consider the symmetric cocycle α_{GK} of (2.1) corresponding to GK. For simplicity, we denote α_{GK} just by α_K. As a direct consequence of Theorem 2.4, we have the following Corollary

Corollary 2. 11 *Let* E *be a Henselian valued field and* D *a tame central division algebra over* E *such that* $char(\bar{E})$ *does not divide* $deg(D)$*. Using the notations of (2.10), if* K *is a Kummer subfield of* D*, then there is a cocycle* $d' \in Z^2(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E, KUM(\bar{K}/\bar{E}))_{sym}$

(for the trivial action of Γ_K/Γ_E *on* $KUM(\bar{K}/\bar{E})$*) and a map* $\omega' : \Gamma_K/\Gamma_E \to Aut(\bar{D})$ *which satisfies* $\omega'_{\bar{\gamma}}(a) = a$ *for all* $a \in \bar{K}$ *and* $\bar{\gamma} \in \Gamma_K/\Gamma_E$*, such that :*
1. (ω', i_*d') *is a factor set of* Γ_K/Γ_E *in* $\bar{D}$ *cohomologous to* $res^{\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E}_{\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E}(\omega, d)$*, and*
2. $e_*([d']) = [\alpha_K]$.

Also, as a consequence of Theorem 2.6, we have the following Corollary :

Corollary 2. 12 *Let* E *be a Henselian valued field and* D *a tame central division algebra over* E *such that* $char(\bar{E})$ *does not divide* $deg(D)$*. Assume that* $\bar{E}$ *contains enough roots of unity and that (with the notations of (2.10)), there are :*
1. a field extension M *of* $\bar{E}$ *in* $\bar{D}$*, and a subgroup* R *of* Γ_D/Γ_E *acting trivially on* M*,*
2. a cocycle $d' \in Z^2(R, KUM(M/\bar{E}))_{sym}$ *and a map* $\omega' : R \to Aut(\bar{D})$ *such that* (ω', i_*d) *is a factor set of* R *in* $\bar{D}$ *cohomologous to* $res^{\Gamma D/\Gamma E}_R(\omega, d)$ *and such that* $\omega'_{\bar{\gamma}}(a) = a$ *for all* $a \in M$ *and* $\bar{\gamma} \in R$*.*
Then, there exists a Kummer subfield K *of* D *such that :*
1. $\bar{K} = M$*,* $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E = R$ *and*
2. $e_*([d']) = [\alpha_K]$.

Remark 2.13 (1) In the last two corollaries, we can use the group isomorphism $kum(K/E) \cong kum(GK/GE)$ and replace the exact sequence of trivial Γ_K/Γ_E-modules α_{GK} by another exact sequence of trivial Γ_K/Γ_E-modules

$$1 \to kum(\bar{K}/\bar{E}) \xrightarrow{\phi} kum(K/E) \xrightarrow{\psi} \Gamma_K/\Gamma_E \to 0$$

then use it to have necessary and sufficient condition for D to have Kummer subfields.
(2) We have also analogous results to Corollary 2.8 and Corollary 2.9 for tame semiramified division algebras over Henselian valued fields.
(3) We can drop the assumption that E is Henselian in many results of this paper. Indeed, let D be a valued central division algebra over a field E, HE be the Henselization of D with respect to the restriction of the valuation of D and $HD = D \otimes_E HE$. Then, one can easily see that $GD = G(HD)$ and $GE = G(HE)$.

Theorem 2. 14 *Let* F *be a graded field,* D *a semiramified graded division algebra over* F *and* d *the cocycle seen in Remark 1.8. If* F_0 *contains a primitive* $deg(D)^{th}$

root of unity, then the following statements are equivalent :
(1) D is cyclic,
(2) There is a field extension M of F_0 in D_0 such that :

(i) the extensions M/F_0 and D_0/M are cyclic, and

(ii) $(D_0/F_0, G, d) \otimes_{F_0} M \sim (D_0/M, \sigma, u)$ for some generator σ of $Gal(D_0/M)$ and some $u \in M^*$ such that uF_0^* generates $kum(M/F_0)$.

Proof. This can be proved in the same way as [T86, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 2. 15 *Let F be a graded field, D a semiramified graded division algebra over F and d the cocycle seen in Remark 1.8. Suppose now that $deg(D)$ is a power of a prime p and that F_0 contains a primitive p^{th} root of unity. Then, the following statements are equivalent*
(1) D is an elementary abelian graded crossed product,
(2) there is a field extension M of F_0 in D_0 such that M/F_0 and D_0/M are elementary abelian, and $(D_0/F_0, G, d)$ represents in $Br(D_0/F_0)/Dec(D_0/F_0)$ an element of the image of the canonical group homomorphism $Br(M/F_0)/Dec(M/F_0) \to Br(D_0/F_0)/Dec(D_0/F_0)$,
(3) $exp(G) = p$ or p^2 and $(D_0/F_0, G, d)$ represents in $Br(D_0/F_0)/Dec(D_0/F_0)$ an element of the image of the canonical group homomorphism $Br(L/F_0)/Dec(L/F_0) \to Br(D_0/F_0)/Dec(D_0/F_0)$, where $L = Fix_{G^p}(D_0)$ (G^p being the subgoup of G consisting in p-powers of elements of G) (this last condition is void if $exp(G) = p$ since in this case $L = K$.)

Proof. This can be proved in the same way as [T86, Theorem 4.1].

Proposition 2. 16 *Let E be a Henselian valued field, D a division algebra over E such that $char(\bar{E})$ does not divide $deg(D)$ and H a finite group. Then, D has a tame Galois subfield with Galois group isomorphic to H if and only if GD has a Galois graded subfield of Galois group isomorphic to H. Therefore, D is cyclic [resp., an elementary abelian crossed product] if and only if GD is cyclic [resp., an elementary abelian graded crossed product].*

Proof. Assume that D has a Galois subfield of Galois group isomorphic to H, then by [HW(1), Theorem 5.2] GK is a Galois graded subfield of GD with Galois group isomorphic to H. Conversely, assume that GD has a Galois graded subfield L with Galois group isomorphic to H. Then, again by [HW(1), Theorem 5.2] there is a tame field extension M of E such that $GM \cong L$ and $Gal(M/E) \cong H$. By [HW(2)99, Theorem 5.9] M is isomorphic to a subfield of D.

Remark. We recall that if E is a Henselian valued field and D is an inertially split division algebra over E with $\bar{D}$ commutative, then D is a tame semiramified division algebra over E (see [M07, Proposition 2.6]). The reader can then see that similar results to Theorem 2.14, Theorem 2.15 in the case of tame semiramified division algebras over a Henselian valued field were proved in [MorSe95]. Using Theorem 2.14, Theorem 2.15, we get the next two Corollaries of [MorSe95]. In the next section, we will prove these two corollaries without assuming that $\bar{E}$ contains primitive roots of unity.

Corollary 2. 17 [*MorSe95, Corollary 5.5*] *Let E be a Henselian valued field and D a tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree over E. Suppose that $char(\bar{E})$ does not divide $deg(D)$ and $\bar{E}$ contains a primitive $deg(D)^{th}$ root of unity and that $rk(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$, then D is non-cyclic.*

Proof. We have $rk(Gal(GD_0/GE_0)) = rk(Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{E})) = rk(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$. So by Theorem 2.14(2(i)) GD is non-cyclic. Hence, by Proposition 2.16, D is non-cyclic.

Corollary 2. 18 [*MorSe95, Corollary 5.7*] *Let E be a Henselian valued field and D a tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree p^n over E (p being a prime integer and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$). Suppose that $\bar{E}$ contains a primitive p^{th} root of unity and that p^3 divides $exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)$, then D has no elementary abelian maximal subfield.*

Proof. This follows by Theorem 2.15 and Proposition 2.16.

3 Non-cyclic and non-elementary abelian crossed product tame semiramified division algebras

Let E be a Henselian valued field and D a tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree p^n over a Henselian valued field E such that $char(\bar{E}) \neq p$. In this section, we aim to show that if $rk(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$, then D is non-cyclic [Proposition 3.1], and that if p^3 divides $exp(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_F)$, then D has no elementary abelian maximal subfield [Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 3. 1 *Let E be a Henselian valued field and D a semiramified division algebra of degree n over E. Assume $char(\bar{E})$ does not divide n and suppose K is a cyclic maximal subfield of D. Then, Γ_K/Γ_E and Γ_D/Γ_K are cyclic. So, Γ_D/Γ_E is generated by two elements. In particular, if n is a prime power and $rk(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \geq 3$, then D is non-cyclic.*

Proof. Let M be the inertial lift of $\bar{K}$ over E in K (see [JW90, Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9]). Since K is cyclic and totally ramified over M, then $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E (= \Gamma_K/\Gamma_M)$ is cyclic. Furthermore, we have $\Gamma_D/\Gamma_K \cong (\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E)/(\Gamma_K/\Gamma_E) \cong Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{E})/Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{K}) \cong Gal(\bar{K}/\bar{E}) \cong Gal(M/E)$ (for the second equivalence, see that K is a totally ramified maximal subfield of the semiramified division algebra C_D^M). So, Γ_D/Γ_K is cyclic. Let $\gamma_1 + \Gamma_E$ be a generator of Γ_K/Γ_E and $\gamma_2 + \Gamma_K$ a generator of Γ_D/Γ_K, then for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_D/\Gamma_E$, there are positive integers n_1 and n_2 such that $\alpha = n_1\gamma_1 + n_2\gamma_2 + \Gamma_E$. If n is a prime power, then $rk(\Gamma_D/\Gamma_E) \leq 2$.

Proposition 3. 2 *Let E be a Henselian valued field and D a tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree p^n over E (p being a prime integer and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$). If $char(\bar{E}) \neq p$ and p^3 divides $exp(Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{E}))$, then D has no elementary abelian maximal subfield.*

Proof. Suppose that K is an elementary abelian maximal subfield of D, then $\bar{K}/\bar{E}$ is elementary abelian. Therefore, for any $\sigma \in Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{E})$, $\sigma^p \in Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{K})$. Let M be the inertial lift of $\bar{K}$ over E in K. Then, K is a Galois totally ramified field extension of M and $Gal(K/M) \cong \Gamma_K/\Gamma_M$. Moreover, since C_D^M is tame semiramified, then $Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{K}) = Gal(\bar{D}/\bar{M}) \cong \Gamma_K/\Gamma_M(\cong Gal(K/M))$. Hence, $\sigma^{p^2} = id_{\bar{D}}$. A contradiction.

Remark 3.3 (1) We recall that we saw in [M07, Proposition 4.6] that if E is a Henselian valued field and D is a nondegenerate tame semiramified division algebra of prime power degree over E, then D has an elementary abelian maximal subfield if and only if Γ_D/Γ_F is elementary abelian.
(2) As showed in [T86] with Malcev-Neumann division algebras, one can use Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 to prove the following result : Let m and n be integers which have the same prime factors and such that m divides n, and let k be an infinite field. If there is a prime $p \neq char(k)$ such that p^2 divides m and p^3 divides n, then Saltman's universal division algebras of exponent m and degree n over k are not crossed products.

References

[BM00] M. Boulagouaz and K. Mounirh, Generic abelian crossed products and graded division algebras, pp. 33-47 in algebra and number theory (Fès, 1997), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. Vol. 208, Dekker, New York, 2000.

[JW90] B. Jacob and A. R. Wadsworth, Division algebras over Henselian Fields, J. Algebra, 128 (1990), pp. 126-179.

[HW(1)99] Y.-S. Hwang and A. R. Wadsworth, Algebraic extensions of graded and valued fields, Comm. Algebra, 27 (1999), pp. 821-840.

[HW(2)99] Y.-S. Hwang and A. R. Wadsworth, Correspondences between valued division algebras and graded division algebras, J. Algebra, 220 (1999), pp. 73-114.

[MorSe95] P. Morandi and B.A. Sethuraman, Kummer subfields of tame division algebras, J. Algebra, 172 (1995), pp. 554-583.

[M05] K. Mounirh, Nicely semiramified division algebras over Henselian fields, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences (2005), 571-577.

[M07] K. Mounirh, Nondegenerate semiramified valued and graded division algebras, May 2007, Preprint (LAG).

[NO82] C. Natasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, Graded ring Theory, North-Holland, Library of Math., Vol. 82, 1982.

[P82] R-S. Pierce, Associative algebra, Spring-Verlag (1982).

[TA85] JP Tignol and SA Amitsur, Kummer subfields of Malcev-Neumann division algebras, Isr. Journal of Math. Vol. 50 (1985), pp. 114-144.

[T86] JP Tingol, Cyclic and elementary abelian subfields of Malcev-Neumann division algebras, Journal of Pure and appl. algebra, Vol 42 (1986), pp. 199-220.

[T87] JP Tignol, Generalized crossed products, in "Seminaires Mathématiques" (nouvelle série), N106, Université Catholique de Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium, 1987.